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#### Abstract

Adult and immature copepodids of Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876) and Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859 were collected by SCUBA from the White Sea. All copepodids of D. nigripes were found on the bryozoan Flustra foliacea (Linnaeus, 1758); adults of D. nigripes also were washed out from the sponge Halichondria panicea (Pallas, 1766), the ophiuroid Ophiopholis aculeata (Linnaeus, 1767), and were collected among hydrozoans and other invertebrates from dead shells swept by tidal currents. Copepodids of A. lilljeborgi were washed from the starfish Henricia sanguinolenta (O. F. Müller, 1776). Comparative analysis of development of $D$. nigripes and $A$. lilljeborgi with the related Scottomyzon gibberum (Scott \& Scott, 1894) shows that both genders of A. lilljeborgi and S. gibberum suppress the formation of fourth abdominal somite. Females of A. lilljeborgi and D. nigripes develop a simple segmental complex when the arthrodial membrane separating the genital somite from the second abdominal somite fails to form; this arthrodial membrane develops on females of $S$. gibberum so that there is no genital complex. The antennule of $A$. lilljeborgi with a single, proximal complex of three segments appears most similar to the ancestral siphonostomatoid. The antennule of $D$. nigripes has a proximal complex of two segments and a distal complex of three segments; the antennule of $S$. gibberum has a proximal complex of three segments and a distal complex of four segments. Setation of the maxilliped of $D$. nigripes and $A$. lilljeborgi are identical and appear similar to the ancestral siphonostomatoid; the maxilliped of S. gibberum differs in that it fails to add a seta to its syncoxa and loses a seta on the penultimate endopodal segment. Asterocheres lilljeborgi and S. gibberum share derived states of setation on the exopod of swimming legs $1-4$, leg 5 and leg $6 ; D$. nigripes and S. gibberum share derived states of setation on the endopod of swimming legs 3 and 4.


Copepods belonging to the family Asterocheridae Giesbrecht 1899 have been collected as free-living from the benthopelagic zone, or from benthic samples taken in association with cnidarians, echinoderms, sponges, ascidians and bryozoans from all oceans of the world. Forty of 45 genera of
asterocherids have been described from shallow marine waters, and five from deep waters. Four of the five deep water genera are found in galls of corals (Stock 1981, 1984); the monotypic genus Cheramomyzon Humes, 1989 is found in deep water seeps off Florida. In addition, one species
of the shallow water genus Collocherides Canu, 1893 has been collected from a deep water hydrothermal vent in the northeast Pacific Ocean (Humes 1999). The family Asterocheridae and the deep water hydrothermal vent family Dirivultidae Humes \& Dojiri, 1980 share character states often considered ancestral for the order Siphonostomatoida in phylogenetic analyses of copepod orders (Ho 1990, Huys \& Boxshall 1991, Martínez Arbizu 2003). Detailed descriptions of development of asterocherid species are essential in analyzing the ancestral states, transformations, and homologies of characters of that order and of the subclass Copepoda. Ivanenko et al. (2001) provide the only description of development of a siphonostomatoid, Scottomyzon gibberum (Scott \& Scott, 1894), associated with an invertebrate, the starfish Asterias rubens Linnaeus, 1758. Scottomyzon gibberum previously was included in Asterocheridae, but was placed in Scottomyzontidae by Ivanenko et al. (2001). In the present paper, adults are redescribed and all juvenile copepodid stages are described of Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876) and Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, both collected from the White Sea. Dermatomyzon nigripes is the only species of the genus. Asterocheres lilljeborgi is the oldest of 60 nominal species in its genus, and taxonomic studies of the genus have been published continuously since its discovery.

## Methods

All measurements and dissections were made for copepodids from the Karelian coast of the Gulf of Kandalaksha, White Sea, near the Marine Station of Moscow State University, $66^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. Benthic invertebrates were collected individually in plastic bags during SCUBA dives from $5-25 \mathrm{~m}$. About five volumes of fresh water were added to one volume of the seawater in the plastic bag with invertebrates for 30 min . The bag was agitated and the water passed through a 20 micron mesh net.

Copepodids conforming to stages I-VI were recovered from these net samples and fixed with $70 \%$ ethanol; no nauplii were collected. Copepodids were cleared in lactic acid following the method of Humes \& Gooding (1964), and stained by adding a solution of chlorazol black E dissolved in $70 \%$ ethanol $/ 30 \%$ freshwater (Ferrari 1995). Drawings were made with a camera lucida.

The first to sixth copepodid stages are CI-CVI. Thoracic somites are abbreviated Th; abdominal somites are Abd. CR is the caudal ramus. Somites are numbered according to their relative developmental age following Hulsemann (1991); thoracic somites and abdominal somites, except the most posterior anal somite, increase in age and decrease in numeral designation anteriorly. The anal somite bearing the caudal rami is designated as the first abdominal somite because developmentally it is the oldest abdominal somite. The first thoracic somite bears the maxilliped; the genital openings are found on the seventh thoracic somite.

The number of segments of the antennule often are difficult to determine because the arthrodial membrane separating segments may be very thin and difficult to observe; armament of an antennular segment is given as "setae + aesthetascs". Although patterning of copepod swimming legs during development are only incompletely known, the model of proximal patterning (Ferrari \& Benforado 1998) is followed here. Ramal segments of swimming legs $1-4$ (thoracopods $2-5$ ) are referred to by their presentation during development (Ferrari 1995). The terms "seta" and "spine" are used for articulating cuticular elements connected by an arthrodial membrane to an appendage segment; setae appear to be less rigid than spines. In order to maintain continuity among descriptive publications, tables of setae and spines on swimming legs $1-4$ in the descriptive section follow the formula introduced by Lang (1934). In the formula, Roman numerals indicate spines and Arabic
numerals are setae. Numerals to the left of a comma or dash indicate lateral elements; numerals between two commas are terminal elements, and numerals to the right of a comma or dash are medial elements. A semicolon separates ramal segments and an asterisk indicates that the segment is absent. It should be noted, however, that this kind of formula is not derived from the way a swimming leg is patterned during development, in which the distal arthrodial membrane of a segment is formed one copepodid stage later than the formation of the initial seta of the segment (Ferrari \& Benforado 1998). Thus, setal and segmental homologies cannot be determined correctly from the formula. Setules are epicuticular extensions of a seta; denticles are epicuticular extensions of an appendage segment; spinules are epicuticular extensions of a somite. Only authors who have contributed descriptions and/or illustrations are cited in the synonymy section.

Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \&
Robertson, 1876)
Figs. 1-8
Dermatomyzon nigripes Brady and Robertson, 1876.-Giesbrecht, 1899:77-78, pl. 1 , fig. 4, pl. 5, figs. 1-14.-Sars, 1914: 95-97, pls. 59, 60.-Lang, 1949:5, fig. 8.-Eiselt, 1965:155-158, fig. 3A-J.Boxshall, 1990:537-539, figs. 9-11.Gotto, 1993:166, figs. 40P-Q, 41A-D.
Dermatomyzon giesbrechti Brady, 1910: 574-577, textfigure 60, pl. 58, fig. 11.
Dermatomyzon nigripes giesbrechti Brady, 1910.-Eiselt, 1965:155.

Dermatomyzon elegans Claus, 1889:351, pl. 6.
Dermatomyzon herdmani Brady, 1910:575, fig. 61.
Cyclopicera nigripes Brady \& Robertson, 1876:197.—Brady, 1880:54-56, pl. 89, figs. 1-11.-Thompson, 1893:36, pl. 25, fig. 7.
Ascomyzon thorelli Sars, 1880:474-75.
CVI female (Fig. 1A, B).—Body length
range $1.20-1.36 \mathrm{~mm}$ (based on 3 specimens); average length of prosome 0.84 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.62 mm ; length of urosome 0.46 mm ; length of genital complex 0.15 mm ; width of genital complex 0.17; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.4 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 1.8.

Prosome (Fig. 1A, B): 4 articulating sections; 1st a complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2; Th3-5 articulating.

Urosome (Fig. 1C, D): 5 articulating sections; Th6, genital complex of Th7 fused to Abd2 [an unsclerotized area separates anterior neck from remaining part of Th7], Abd3, 4, 1 articulating. On genital complex, paired copulatory pores ventral-lateral to oviducal openings; paired oviducal openings dorsal.

Egg sacs spherical with up to 8 eggs (Fig. 1F).

Rostrum (Fig. 1B): Pointed in lateral view.

Oral cone (Fig. 1E): Beak-like.
Antennule (Fig. 1G, H): 19 articulating segments with $1,2,4,2,2,2,2,6,1,2,2$, $2,2,2,2,2,2+1,1,11$.

Antenna (Fig. 1I): Coxa and basis without setae; basis with denticle. Exopod 1segmented with 1 terminal seta and 1 proximal seta. Endopod 2-segmented; 1st segment with denticles; 2 nd segment with denticles and 5 setae, largest thick and with curved tip.

Mandible (Fig. 1J): Gnathobase $2 \times$ length of palp, tapering and slightly curved distally with denticles; 1 -segmented palp with denticles and 2 terminal setae.

Maxillule (Fig. 1K): Inner lobe bearing several series of denticles and armed with 5 setae; outer lobe articulating proximally, with 1 short and 2 long setae terminally and 1 long subterminal seta.

Maxilla (Fig. 2C): Apparently 2 -segmented subchela; 1st segment with proximal asthetasc; 2nd segment curved and pointed distally with indistinct arthrodial membranes at distal 3rd and distal 6th of


Fig. 1. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CVI Female: A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, urosome, ventral; D, urosome, dorsal; E, labrum, anterior; F, eggs; G, antennule, segments 1-11; H, antennule, segments $12-19$; I, antenna; J, mandible; K, maxillule. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A, B; line 2 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$; line 3 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{K}$; line 4 is 0.1 mm for F .
its length, with small, inner seta and several sets of denticles.

Maxilliped (Fig. 2A, B): Short, syncoxa with 1 inner seta, long basis with 1 inner seta on medial margin; endopod of proximal, short, apparently subdivided section with 1 medially and 2 distally polarized setae, and distal segment with 2 terminal setae, largest thick and claw-like.

Swimming legs $1-4$ (Fig. 2D-G): Biramal, with 3 -segmented rami; all with intercoxal sclerite and 2 -segmented protopods. Formula for spines and setae (Table 1). Distal exopodal seta adjacent to terminal spine of swimming legs 3-4 very small.

Leg 5 (Fig. 2I): Basis not articulating with somite and bearing medial denticle and lateral seta; exopod elongate with 1 thin


Fig. 2. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CVI Female: A, maxilliped; B, proximal part of endopod of maxilliped, posterior; C , maxilla; D , swimming leg 1 ; E , swimming leg 2 ; F , swimming leg 3 ; G, swimming leg 4 ; I, leg 5. Dermatomyzon nigripes CVI male: H, swimming leg 3, distal segment of endopod. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A; line 2 is 0.05 mm for B ; line 3 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$; line 4 is 0.05 mm for H , I .

Table 1.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Dermatomyzon nigripes CVI female.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd $;$ st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-1; I-1; III, 1, 4 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,2,3$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I, 5 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,2,3$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I,5 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1$, I, 3 |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I,5 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1$, I,2 |



Fig. 3. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CVI Male: A, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome, dorsal; C, antennule; D, maxilliped. Dermatomyzon nigripes CV Male: E, habitus, dorsal. Dermatomyzon nigripes CV Female: F, habitus, dorsal; G, urosome, ventral; H, antennule. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A, E, F; line 2 is 0.1 mm for $B$; line 3 is 0.1 mm for $H$; line 4 is 0.1 mm for $D, G$; line 5 is 0.1 mm for $C$.
and 2 thick unarmed setae laterally and 2 longer setae with setules medially.

Leg 6 (Fig. 1D): 1 long, thin seta and 2 very small setae near oviducal opening.

CR (Fig. 1C, D): Subquadrate with denticles and 1 lateral, dorsal seta, 1 medial dorsal seta, and 4 terminal setae.

CVI male (Fig. 3A).-Differs from CVI female as follows: Body length range 0.90 1.08 mm (based on 3 specimens); average length of prosome 0.59 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.42 mm ; length of urosome 0.33 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.4; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 1.8 .

Urosome (Fig. 3B): 6 somites; Th7 articulating with Abd2; copulatory pore ventrolateral.

Antennule (Fig. 3C): 17 articulating segments with: $1+1,2+1,4+2,2+1,2,2+1$, $2,6+3,1,2+1,2,2+1,2,2+1,3,3+1$, 10. Geniculation between articulating segments 16 and 17 ; articulating segments $14-$ 17 arc-like with articulating segment 15 flexed ventrally toward segment 14 and articulating segment 16 flexed ventrally toward segment 15 .

Maxilliped (Fig. 3D): Basis with raised pad-like section proximally and medially.

Swimming leg 3 (Fig. 2H): Tip of lateral seta of distal endopodal segment not reaching to apex of terminal spine; segmental attenuation proximal to lateral seta points medially, not distally.

Leg 6 (Fig. 3B): 3 distolateral setae.
CV female (Fig. 3F).-Differs from CVI female as follows: Body length range 0.981.00 mm (based on 2 specimens); average length of prosome 0.67 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.47 mm ; length of urosome 0.32 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.4 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.1.

Urosome (Fig. 3G): 5 articulating sections; Th6, 7, Abd1-3 articulating. Th7 without copulatory pores or oviducal openings.

Antennule (Fig. 3H): 11 articulating seg-
ments with $1,2,11,2,6,1,3,8,4,2+1$, 12.

Leg 6 (Fig. 3G): 3 distolateral setae.
CV male (Fig. 3E).—Differs from CV female as follows: Body length range $0.73-$ 0.74 mm (based on 2 specimens); average length of prosome 0.49 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.33 mm ; length of urosome 0.25 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.5 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.0.

Antennule: 11 articulating segments with $1,2,10,2,6,1,3,8,4,2+1,12$.

CIV (Fig. 4A).—Differs from CV female as follows: Body length range 0.65-0.72 mm (based on 2 specimens); average length of prosome 0.48 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.32 mm ; length of urosome 0.21 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.5 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.3.

Urosome (Fig. 4B): 4 articulating sections; Th6, 7, Abd2, 1 articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 4C): 9 articulating segments with $1,6,1,5,2,8,4,2+1,12$.

Antenna (Fig. 4D), maxillule (Fig. 4E) and maxilliped (Fig. 4F, G): As illustrated.

Swimming legs 1-4 (Figs. 4H, I; 5A, B): Small, distal seta adjacent to terminal spine of the exopod of swimming legs 3-4. Formula for spines and setae (Table 2).

Leg 5 (Fig. 4B): Basis not articulating with somite and bearing lateral seta; exopod elongate with 1 thin, unarmed seta medially, 1 thick, unarmed seta laterally and 1 terminal seta with setules.

Leg 6 (Fig. 4B): 2 distal setae.
CIII.-Differs from CIV female as follows: Body length 0.51 mm (based on 1 specimen); length of prosome 0.36 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.26 mm ; length of urosome 0.15 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.4 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.4.

Urosome (Fig. 5C, D): Th6, 7, Abdl articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 5G): 8 articulating segments with $2,3,4,1,4,2,2+1,11$.


Fig. 4. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CIV Female: A, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome, ventral; C, antennule; $D$, antenna; E, maxillule; $F$, maxilliped; $G$, maxilliped, proximal part of endopod, posterior; $H$, swimming leg 1 ; $I$, swimming leg 2 . Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for $A$; line 2 is 0.05 mm for $B, C, H$, $I$; line 3 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{G}$.

Antenna (Fig. 6B) and mandible (Fig. 6A): As illustrated.

Maxillule (Fig. 6C): Outer lobe with 3 setae; inner lobe with 4 setae.

Maxilla (Fig. 6D): 3-segmented with indistinct arthrodial membrane distally.

Maxilliped (Fig. 6E): Proximally, endopod indistinctly segmented with 1 seta.

Swimming legs $1-4$ (Fig. 6F-I): Swimming legs $1-3$ with 2 -segmented rami, swimming leg 4 with 1 -segmented rami. Distal exopodal seta adjacent to terminal

Table 2.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Dermatomyzon nigripes CIV female.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-\mathrm{I}$ | $1-1$ | $\mathrm{I}-1 ; * ; \mathrm{III}, 5$ | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-1 ; * ; \mathrm{III}, 1,5$ | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-1 ; * ; \mathrm{III}, \mathrm{I}, 5$ | $0-1 ; * ; 1, \mathrm{I}, 4$ |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-0 ; * ; \mathrm{III}, \mathrm{I}, 5$ | $0-1 ; * ; 1, \mathrm{I}, 3$ |

spine of swimming legs 3,4 tiny. Formula for setae and spines (Table 3).

Leg 5 (Fig. 5E): A unilobe ventrolateral bud with 1 distal spine and 1 distal seta.

CR (Fig. 5F): As illustrated.
CII.-Differs from CIII as follows: Body length 0.4 mm (based on 1 specimen); length of prosome 0.29 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.19 mm ; length of urosome 0.13 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.5; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.2.

Prosome (Fig. 7A, B): 3 articulating sections; 1st complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2; Th3, 4 articulating.

Urosome (Fig. 7A, B): Th5, 6, Abd1 articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 7D): 6 articulating segments with $2,3,3,1,1+1,11$.

Antenna (Fig. 7E), mandible (Fig. 7H) and maxilla (Fig. 7F): As illustrated.

Maxillule (Fig. 7I): Inner lobe with 3 setae.

Maxilliped (Fig. 7G): Syncoxa without seta, basis without seta; proximal section of endopod with 1 distally polarized seta and 1 medial seta; distal segment with 2 terminal setae, 1 claw-like.

Swimming legs $1-3$ (Fig. 7K-M): Swimming legs 1-2 with 2 -segmented rami, swimming leg 3 with 1 -segmented rami. Tiny distal seta adjacent to terminal spine of the exopod of swimming leg 3. Formula for spines and setae (Table 4).

Swimming leg 4 (Fig. 7C): A ventrolateral, bilobe bud; dorsal lobe with 1 distal spine and 1 distal seta; ventral lobe unarmed.

CR (Fig. 7J): As illustrated.
CI.-Differs from CII as follows based on 1 specimen: length 0.36 mm ; maximum width 0.16 mm ; length of prosome 0.22 mm , urosome 0.14 mm ; ratio of length to width $2.25: 1$; ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1.65:1.

Prosome (Fig. 8A, B): 2 articulating sections; 1st, complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2; Th3 articulating.

Urosome (Fig. 8A, B): Th4, 5, Abd1 articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 8E): 4 articulating segments with 2, 2, 1, 11+1.

Antenna (Fig. 8F), mandible (Fig. 8G), maxillule (Fig. 8H) and maxilla (Fig. 8I): As illustrated.

Maxilliped (Fig. 8L): Syncoxa and basis unarmed. Endopod of 2 distinct segments; proximal segment with 1 medial seta and distal segment with 2 setae.

Swimming legs 1-2 (Fig. 8J, K): 1-segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 5).

Swimming leg 3 (Fig. 8C): Ventrolateral, bilobe bud; dorsal lobe with 1 distal spine and 1 distal seta; ventral lobe unarmed.

CR (Fig. 8D): Inner terminal seta longest; remaining terminal setae decreasing in length from inner to outer.

Remarks.-The monotypic genera Dermatomyzon Claus, 1889, Australomyzon Nicholls, 1944, and Cheramomyzon Humes, 1989 share appendage segmentation and segmental armature with species of Rhynchomyzon Giesbrecht, 1895. Females of Dermatomyzon with a 19-segmented antennule differ from females of Australomyzon with a 21 -segmented antennule. Females of Dermatomyzon differ from females of the poorly-diagnosed Rhynchomyzon with antennules of between 14 and 16 segments, by the well-developed rostrum or by the posterolateral extensions of thoracomeres 3 and 4. The mandibular palp of Cheramomyzon is 2-segmented but 1segmented in Dermatomyzon. The fifth leg of Australomyzon is 1 -segmented but 2 -segmented in Dermatomyzon. Dermatomyzon


Fig. 5. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CIV Female: A, swimming leg 3; B, swimming leg 4. Dermatomyzon nigripes CIII: C, habitus, dorsal; D, habitus, lateral; E, leg 5; F, caudal ramus, dorsal; G, antennule. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for C , D ; line 2 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$; line 3 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$; line 4 is 0.05 mm for G .
nigripes initially was described from the North Sea coast of England. Subsequently it has been reported from areas adjacent to the North Sea (Hansen 1923, Stephensen 1929, Jespersen 1940, Lang 1949), the Mediterranean Sea (Giesbrecht 1899), the

Arctic Ocean (Shih et al. 1971), the Indian Ocean (Sewell 1949, Ummerkutty 1966), the Pacific Ocean (Boxshall 1990), and the Southern Ocean (Brady 1910). In the White Sea, copepodids of D. nigripes were found on the bryozoan Flustra foliacea (Linnaeus,


Fig. 6. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CIII: A, mandible; B, antenna; C, maxillule; D, maxilla; E, maxilliped; $F$, swimming leg 1 ; $G$, swimming leg $2 ; H$, swimming leg 3 ; $I$, swimming leg 4 . Scale line is 0.05 mm .

Table 3.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Dermatomyzon nigripes CIII.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-1; *; III, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; *; III, I, 5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,4$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-0; *; II, I, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1$, I, 3 |
| Leg 4 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | *; *; III, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1$, I, 3 |

1758). A small number of adults of D. nigripes were washed from the sponge Halichondria panicea (Pallas, 1766) and the echinoderm Ophiopholis aculeata (Linnaeus, 1767). Adults also were collected around hydrozoans and other invertebrates from bottom covered by dead shells scoured by strong tidal currents. These findings suggest that adults of $D$. nigripes may be an unspecialized symbiont associated with different invertebrates of the White Sea sublittoral. However, immature copepodids of D. nigripes, along with other copepods, were associated only with Flustra foliacea (see Ivanenko \& Smurov 1997) suggesting that the bryozoan may be the preferred host of the immature copepodids.

## Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859

Figs. 9-18
Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859:176, pl. 2, figs. 1-11.—Brady, 1880:64-65.Canu, 1892:264, pl. 27, figs. 1-6.-Giesbrecht, 1899:70, 73, pl. 3, figs. 21-26.Wilson, 1944:547, pl. 30, figs. 161-162.-Roettger et al. 1972:259, figs. 1-9.-Gotto, 1993:153, fig. 36 B-J.

Ascomyzon asterocheres Sars, 1914:85-87, pls. 51-52.

CVI female.-Body length range 1.41 1.47 mm (based on 3 specimens); average length of prosome 0.99 mm ; maximum width of prosome 1.09 mm ; length of urosome 0.44 mm ; length of genital complex 0.16 mm ; width of genital complex 0.21 ; ratio of length to width of prosome 0.9 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.3.

Table 4.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-3 of Dermatomyzon nigripes CII.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-0; *; III, 5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,4$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-0; *; II, I, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,3$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | $* ; * ;$ III, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1$, I, 3 |

Prosome (Fig. 9A): Flattened dorsoventrally with tergites pointed posteriorly; 4 articulating sections; 1st complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2 with spinules; Th3-5 articulating.

Urosome (Fig. 9B): 4 articulating sections; Th6, genital complex of Th7 fused to Abd2, Abd3, and Abd1. Th6 and genital complex with spinules; paired copulatory pores ventrolateral; paired oviducal openings dorsolateral.

Rostral area flattened; rostrum absent.
Oral siphon (Fig. 10D): Tip reaching beyond base of maxilla.

Labrum: With spinules on tip.
Antennule (Fig. 9E, F): 21 articulating segments with $2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,6,2,2$, $2,2,2,2,2,2,2+1,2,2,8$.

Antenna (Fig. 10A): Coxa and basis without setae. Exopod apparently 1 -segmented with 2 terminal setae and proximal medial seta (Fig. 10B). Endopod 3-segmented; 1st segment with denticles, 2nd with 1 seta, 3 rd with denticles and 4 setae, including large terminal claw.

Mandible (Fig. 10C): Gnathobase slightly longer than palp, tapering distally with denticles; 2 -segmented palp, both segments with denticles, distal segment with 2 terminal setae.

Maxillule (Fig. 10E): Inner lobe with several sets of denticles, 4 large setae and 1 small seta; outer articulating lobe with denticles and 4 terminal setae.

Maxilla (Fig. 10F): An apparently 2 -segmented subchela; 1st segment unarmed; 2nd segment distally pointed, curved, with set of denticles but without setae.

Maxilliped (Fig. 10G, H): Short syncoxa


Fig. 7. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CII: A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, swimming leg 3; D, antennule; E, antenna; F, maxilla; G, maxilliped; H, mandible; I, maxillule; J, caudal ramus; K , swimming leg 1 ; L, swimming leg 2 ; M , swimming leg 3 . Scale line 1 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$; line 2 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}$.


Fig. 8. Dermatomyzon nigripes (Brady \& Robertson, 1876), CI: A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, swimming leg 3; D, caudal ramus; E, antennule; F, antenna; G, mandible; H, maxillule; I, maxilla; J, swimming leg 1 ; K, swimming leg 2; L, maxilliped. Scale line 1 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$; line 2 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}$; line 3 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}$, L; line 4 is 0.05 mm for G , I.

Table 5.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-2 of Dermatomyzon nigripes CI.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd: 3rd: 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | $* ; * ;$ IV, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1,2,4$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | $* ; * ;$ III, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1,2,3$ |

with 1 inner seta, long basis with 1 tiny, inner seta on medial margin; endopod of proximal, short, apparently subdivided section with 4 setae, 2 proximal, 1 middle, 1 distal; long distal segment with denticles and 2 terminal setae, largest thick and curved.

Swimming legs $1-4$ (Fig. 11A-E): Biramal, with 3 -segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 6). Lateral seta of the distal endopodal segment of swimming leg 3 not reaching tip of lateral apical seta; lateral apical seta of the distal endopodal segment of swimming leg 4 half the length of apical spine.

Leg 5 (Fig. 11F): Basis not articulating with somite, with lateral seta; articulating segment elongate with 2 unarmed terminal setae and 1 medial seta, plus medial and lateral denticles.

Leg 6 (Fig. 9C): 1 long seta and 1 short seta near oviducal openings.

CR (Fig. 9D): Subquadrate with 2 dorsal setae and 4 terminal setae.

CVI male.-Differs from CVI female as follows: Body length range $1.01-1.06 \mathrm{~mm}$ (based on 3 specimens); average length of prosome 0.70 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.70 mm ; length of urosome 0.36 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.0 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 1.9.

Urosome (Fig. 12A): Th6, 7, Abd2, 3, 1 articulating. Th7 with copulatory pore ventrolaterally.

Antennule (Fig. 12B-D): 18 articulating segments with: $2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,6,2$, $2,2,2,2,2,4,3+1,12$. Geniculation between 15 th and 16 th articulating segments; segment 10 unsclerotized dorsally.

Maxilla (Fig. 12G): Apparently 3-seg-
mented subchela; 1st segment with proximal denticles; 2nd with 1 small seta; 3rd distally pointed and curved.

Maxilliped (Fig. 12H): Basis with raised bump proximally and medially.

Swimming legs 1-4 (Fig. 11G-J): Swimming leg 1 with denticles on distal segment of endopod. Distal attenuation of middle segment of endopod of swimming leg 2 relatively longer than corresponding structure on other swimming legs; denticles on distal segment of endopod. Lateral seta on distal endopodal segment of swimming leg 3 almost reaching to tip of apical seta. Lateral apical seta on distal endopodal segment of swimming leg 4 reaching $3 / 4$ length of apical spine.

Leg 5 (Fig. 12F): As illustrated.
Leg 6 (Fig. 12E): Several sets of denticles and 2 setae, distal thickest.

CV female (Fig. 13A).—Differs from CVI female as follows: Body length range $0.84-1.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ (based on 7 specimens); average length of prosome 0.64 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.62 mm ; length of urosome 0.30 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.0 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.1.

Urosome (Fig. 13A): Th6, 7, Abd2, 3,1 articulating; no copulatory pore or oviducal openings on Th7.

Antennule (Fig. 13C): 18 articulating segments with $2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,8,2,2$, $4,2,2,2,2,2+1,14$.

Leg 6 (Fig. 13A): Uniramal bud with 1 lateral seta and medial denticles.

CV male (Fig. 13B).-Differs from CV female as follows: Body length range 0.850.89 mm (based on 2 specimens); average length of prosome 0.59 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.54 mm ; length of urosome 0.29 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.1; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.5 .

Leg 6: Unilobe bud with 1 lateral seta and 1 terminal seta.
CIV.-Differs from CV female as follows: Body length range $0.65-0.80 \mathrm{~mm}$ (based on 7 specimens); average length of


Fig. 9. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CVI: A, Female, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome, dorsal; C, leg 6; D, caudal ramus, dorsal; E, antennule, segments $1-15$; F, antennule, segments $16-21$. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A; line 2 is 0.1 mm for B ; line 3 is 0.1 mm for C , D ; line 4 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$.


Fig. 10. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CVI Female: A, antenna; B, exopod of antenna; C, mandible; D, oral siphon; E, maxillule; F, maxilla; G, maxilliped; H, proximal part of endopod of maxilliped, posterior. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$; line 2 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}$.
prosome 0.48 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.40 mm ; length of urosome 0.22 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.2; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.2 (Fig. 13E).

Urosome (Fig. 13G): Th6, 7, Abd2, 1 articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 13F): 17 articulating segments with $2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,4,1,2$, $4,4,2,2,2+1,14$.

Maxilla as illustrated (Fig. 14A).
Swimming legs $1-4$ (Figs. 14B-F): 2-
segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 7).

Leg 5 (Fig. 13G): Basis not articulating with somite, with lateral seta; free segment with 1 small seta laterally and 2 thick, unarmed setae distally.

Leg 6 (Fig. 13G): Unilobe bud with 1 seta.
CIII.—Differs from CIV as follows: Body length range $0.50-0.54 \mathrm{~mm}$ (based on 3 specimens); average length of prosome 0.37 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.30


Fig. 11. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CVI Female: A, swimming leg 1; B, swimming leg 2; C, intercoxal sclerite, protopod, and endopod of swimming leg 3 ; D , exopod, swimming leg 3 ; E , swimming leg 4; F, leg 5. Asterocheres lilljeborgi, CVI Male, distal segments of endopod of G, swimming leg 1; H, swimming leg 2; I, swimming leg 3 ; J, swimming leg 4 . Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for $A-F$; line 2 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{J}$.


Fig. 12. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CVI Male: A, habitus, dorsal; B, antennule, segments 1-16; C, antennule, segments 17-18 anterior; D, antennule, segments $17-18$ anterior; E, leg 6; F, leg 5; G. maxilla; $H$, maxilliped. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A ; line 2 is 0.05 mm for D ; line 3 is 0.05 mm for E ; line 4 is 0.05 mm for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$; line 5 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$.
mm ; length of urosome 0.14 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.2 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.6.

Urosome (Fig. 15A): Th6, 7, Abdl articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 15C): 12 articulating segments with $2,1,1,1,3,1,1,2,2,2$, $3+1,14$.

Antenna (Fig. 15D), mandible (Fig. 15E) and maxilla (Fig. 15G): As illustrated.

Table 6.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Asterocheres lilljeborgi adult female.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-1; I-1; III, I, 3 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,2,3$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I, 4 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,2,3$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I, 4 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,1+$ I, 3 |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; I-1; III, I, 4 | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,1+$ I, 2 |



Fig. 13. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CV Female: A, habitus, dorsal. Asterocheres lilljeborgi CV Male: B, male, habitus, dorsal; C, antennule; D, antennule, segment 9. Asterocheres lilljeborgi, CIV: E, habitus, dorsal; F, antennule; G, urosome, ventral. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A, B, E; line 2 is 0.1 mm for C ; line 3 is 0.1 mm for D ; line 4 is 0.1 mm for F ; line 5 is 0.1 mm for $G$.


Fig. 14. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CIV: A, maxilla; B, swimming leg 1; C, swimming leg 2; D, swimming leg 3; E, swimming leg 4 . Scale line is 0.1 mm .

Maxillule (Fig. 15F): Outer lobe with 3 setae.

Maxilliped (Fig. 16A): Proximal section of endopod 3-segmented each with 1 dis-
tally polarized seta; distal segment with 2 terminal setae.

Swimming legs 1-4 (Fig. 16B-E): Biramal; swimming legs $1-3$ with 2 -segmented

Table 7.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Asterocheres lilljeborgi CIV female.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-1; *; III, 1, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; *; III, 1,5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; * III, I, 5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,1+\mathrm{I}, 4$ |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-0; * III, I, 5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,1+\mathrm{I}, 3$ |

rami, swimming leg 4 with 1 -segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 8).

Leg 5 (Fig. 15B): Unilobe bud with 1 distal spine and 1 distal seta.
CII.—Differs from CIII as follows based on 5 specimens: length range $0.41-0.44$ mm ; average maximum width $0.2-0.22$ mm ; greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.130.14 mm ; length of prosome $0.26-0.29 \mathrm{~mm}$, urosome $0.14-0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$; ratio of length to width $2.02: 1$; ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1.95:1.

Prosome (Fig. 17A): 3 articulating sections; 1st a complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2; Th3, 4 articulating; Th4 narrow.

Urosome (Fig. 17A): Th5, Th6, Abdl articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 17C): 9 articulating segments with $2,1,2,1,1,1,1,1+1,14$.

Antenna (Fig. 17D), mandible (Fig. 17E) and maxilla (Fig. 17F): As illustrated.

Maxillule (Fig. 17H): Inner lobe with 3 setae.

Maxilliped (Fig. 17G): Syncoxa without seta, basis without seta. Endopod 3-segmented; proximal and middle segment each with 1 seta, distal segment with 2 terminal setae.

Swimming legs 1-3 (Fig. 17I-M): Swimming legs 1-2 with 2 -segmented rami, swimming leg 3 with 1 -segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 9).

Swimming leg 4 (Fig. 17B): A bilobed bud; dorsal lobe with 1 distal spine and 1 distal setae; ventral lobe unarmed.
CI.-Differs from CII as follows: Body length range 0.38 mm (based on 1 specimen); length of prosome 0.26 mm ; maximum width of prosome 0.16 mm ; length of
urosome 0.12 mm ; ratio of length to width of prosome 1.6 ; ratio of length of prosome to length of urosome 2.2.

Prosome (Fig. 18A): 2 articulating sections; 1st complex of 5 cephalic somites plus Th1, 2; Th3 articulating.

Urosome (Fig. 18B): Th4, 5, Abdl articulating.

Antennule (Fig. 18C): 4 articulating segments with 2, 2, 1, 14+1.

Antenna (Fig. 18D), mandible (Fig. 18E), maxillule (Fig. 18F) and maxilla (Fig. 18G): As illustrated.

Maxilliped (Fig. 18H): Syncoxa and basis unarmed; endopod 2-segmented; proximal segment with 1 medial seta and distal segment with 1 thick and 1 thin seta.

Swimming legs 1-2 (Fig. 18I, J): 1-segmented rami. Formula for spines and setae (Table 10).

Swimming leg 3 (Fig. 18B): Bilobed bud; dorsal lobe with 1 distal spine and 1 distal seta; ventral lobe unarmed.

CR (Fig. 18B): As illustrated.
Remarks.-Asterocheres lilljeborgi, the type species of the family, can be distinguished from its congeners by exceptionally wide tergites of the dorsoventrally flattened prosome. It has been collected in the Davis Strait, off coasts of the British Isles, Sweden, Norway and France, associated with the starfish Henricia sanguinolenta (O. F. Müller, 1776) and other echinoderms (Humes 1986, Gotto 1993). Wilson (1944) found A. lilljeborgi associated with Henricia leviuscula (Stimpson, 1857) in the Aleutian Islands near Alaska. Marchenkov (1997) reported that A. lilljeborgi at the White Sea is associated with H. sanguinolenta. Roettger et al. (1972) studied ecology


Fig. 15. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CIII: A, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome, ventral; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, mandible; F, maxillule; G, maxilla. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A ; line 2 is 0.1 mm for B , $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F}$; line 3 is 0.1 mm for C .
of A. lilljeborgi from H. sanguinolenta collected near Sweden.

## Discussion

One somite is added to the body of both genders of Dermatomyzon nigripes and Asterocheres lilljeborgi during the molts to copepodids II-IV, a pattern similar to the related siphonostomatoid Scottomyzon gibberum (see Ivanenko et al. 2001). During the molt to copepodid V , both genders of D. nigripes add a fourth abdominal somite,
but females and males of A. lilljeborgi appear to suppress the formation of the fourth somite as do both genders of S. gibberum. During the terminal adult molt to CVI, females of A. lilljeborgi and D. nigripes develop a simple genital complex when an arthrodial membrane separating the genital somite from the second abdominal somite fails to form. This arthrodial membrane does form in females of S. gibberum and in males of all three species. The body of the ancestral copepod is assumed to have added


Fig. 16. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CIII: A, maxilliped; B, swimming leg 1; C, swimming leg 2; D, swimming leg 3 ; E, swimming leg 4 . Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm .
a somite during the molt of each copepodid stage (Hulsemann 1991), and the arthrodial membrane separating the genital somite from the second abdominal somite remained during the molt to copepodid VI of females, as it does for a few podoplean neo-
copepods (Martinez Arbizu 2003). The body of the ancestral siphonostomatoid is assumed to have added a somite during the molt of each copepodid stage but the arthrodial membrane separating the female genital somite from the second abdominal

Table 8.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-4 of Asterocheres lilljeborgi CIII.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-1$ | I-1; *; III, 1, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,5$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-1; *; III, I, 5 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,2,4$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | I-0; *; II, I, 4 | $0-1 ; * ; 1,1+$ I, 3 |
| Leg 4 | $0-0$ | *; *; III, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1,1+$ I, 3 |  |

somite failed to form during the molt to copepodid VI. The body of D. nigripes appears to be identical to the ancestral siphonostomatoid. The body of A. lilljeborgi is derived because the fourth abdominal somite fails to form during the molt to copepodid V of both genders. The body of $S$. gibberum also is derived because a fourth abdominal somite fails to form and because an arthrodial membrane separates the genital somite from the second abdominal somite of females, a character state reversal.

The maxilliped of $D$. nigripes and A. lilljeborgi, like S. gibberum, begins development at copepodid I with an unarmed syncoxa, an unarmed basis, and a 2 -segmented endopod with one seta on the proximal segment and two setae on the distal segment. Both D. nigripes and A. lilljeborgi add one seta each to the syncoxa and basis at copepodid III. One seta also is added to a distinctly segmented endopod at copepodid II and at copepodid III; a third seta juxtaposed to the proximal seta of the endopod is added at copepodid IV. Patterning of the endopod of the maxilliped of D. nigripes and A. lilljeborgi during development is assumed to follow the general model for copepods (Ferrari 1995, Ferrari \& Dahms 1998, Ferrari \& Ivanenko 2001), as it is applicable to siphonostomatoids (Ivanenko et al. 2001). Based on the stage at which each seta is added and its location, the endopod of adult $D$. nigripes and $A$. lilljeborgi is interpreted as 4 -segmented. The maxilliped of S. gibberum fails to add a seta to its syncoxa during development and the seta on the second, or penultimate, endopodal segment is lost at CIV; both of these states are interpreted as derived.

Swimming leg 1 of copepodid I of $D$. nigripes and A. lilljeborgi bears eight exopodal setae and seven endopodal setae, the most common number of elements for these rami at this stage of copepod development (Ferrari 2000). Development from copepodid I is similar for both species with one exception. The proximal medial seta on the third exopodal segment (or the ventral seta on the presumptive fourth segment) of $D$. nigripes fails to form on A. lilljeborgi during the molt to CV so that there are three inner setae, not four, on the adult of $A$. lilljeborgi.

Swimming leg 2 of copepodid I of $D$. nigripes and $A$. lilljeborgi bears seven exopodal setae and six endopodal setae, the most common number of elements for these rami at this stage of copepod development (Ferrari 2000). Development from copepodid I is similar for both species with one exception. The proximal medial seta on the third exopodal segment, or ventral seta on the presumptive fourth segment, of $D$. nigripes fails to form on A. lilljeborgi during the molt to CV so that there are four medial setae, not five, on the adult of $A$. lilljeborgi.

Swimming leg 3 of copepodid I of both species is a bud with two setal elements on the dorsal lobe, the presumptive exopod, and none on the ventral lobe, the presumptive endopod. The common situation for copepods is three setae on the presumptive exopod and two setae the presumptive endopod (Ferrari 2000). At copepodid II, the transformed limb of $D$. nigripes and $A$. lilljeborgi bears seven exopodal setae and six endopodal setae, the most common number of elements for these rami at this stage of copepod development (Ferrari 2000). De-


Fig. 17. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CII: A, habitus, dorsal; B, swimming leg 4; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, mandible; F, maxilla; G, maxilliped; H, maxillule; I, swimming leg 1, protopod, exopod and intercoxal sclerite; J, endopod of swimming leg $1 ; K$, swimming leg 2, protopod, exopod and intercoxal sclerite; L , endopod of swimming leg $2 ; \mathrm{M}$, swimming leg 3 . Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A ; line 2 is 0.1 mm for C ; line 3 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{M}$.

Table 9.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 1-3 of Asterocheres lilljeborgi CII.

|  | Соха | Basis | Exopod 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | 0-1 | 1-1 | I-0; *; III, 1, 4 | 0-1; *; 1, 2, 4 |
| Leg 2 | 0-1 | 1-0 | I-0; *; III, I, 4 | 0-1; *; 1, 1+I, 3 |
| Leg 3 | 0-0 | 1-0 | *; *; III, I, 3 | *; *; 1, 1+1,3 |

velopment from copepodid II is similar for both species with two exceptions. D. nigripes fails to add a second terminal element to the endopod during the molt to copepodid III, while $A$. lilljeborgi adds this second terminal element. The proximal medial seta on the third exopodal segment, or ventral seta on the presumptive fourth segment, of D. nigripes fails to form on A. lilljeborgi during the molt to CV so that there are four medial setae, not five, on the adult of $A$. lilljeborgi.

Swimming leg 4 of copepodid II of both species is a bud with two setal elements on the dorsal lobe, the presumptive exopod, and none on the ventral lobe, the presumptive endopod. The common situation for copepods is three setae on the presumptive exopod and two setae the presumptive endopod (Ferrari 2000). At copepodid III, the transformed limb of D. nigripes and A. lilljeborgi bears seven exopodal setae and six endopodal setae, the most common number of elements for these rami at this stage of copepod development (Ferrari 2000). Development from copepodid III is similar for both species with two exceptions. D. nigripes fails to add a second terminal element on the endopod during the molt to copepodid III, while A. lilljeborgi adds this second terminal element. The proximal medial seta on the third exopodal segment, or ventral seta on the presumptive fourth segment, of $D$. nigripes fails to form on $A$. lilljeborgi during the molt to CV so that there are four medial setae, not five, on the adult of $A$. lilljeborgi.

Leg 5 of copepodid III of both species is a bud with two setal elements on the dorsal lobe, the presumptive exopod; there is no ventral lobe. At copepodid IV, the trans-
formed limb of both species bears three exopodal setae. At copepodid $V$ of $D$. nigripes a medial and lateral seta are added to the exopod; no setae are added to $A$. lilljeborgi. Leg 6 of copepodid IV of D. nigripes is a bud with two setae on the dorsal lobe which is assumed to be the presumptive exopod; a third seta is added during the molt to copepodid V. Leg 6 of copepodid IV of $A$. lilljeborgi is a bud with one seta on the dorsal lobe; a second seta is added to the male during the molt to copepodid V , and to the female during the molt to copepodid VI.

Following the simplifying assumption of oligomerization (Dogiel 1954, Monchenko \& Von Vaupel Klein 1999) to infer derived states of swimming legs which develop from serially repeated elements, adults of $S$. gibberum share with those of $D$. nigripes absence of a second terminal element on the third endopodal segment of swimming legs 3-4. Adults of S. gibberum share with those of $A$. lilljeborgi absence of the proximal medial seta on the third exopodal segment of swimming legs $1-4$, three setae on the exopod of leg 5 and two setae the presumptive exopod of leg 6. Derived states of adult $S$. gibberum include absence of the medial basal seta on leg 1 , absence of the medial coxal seta of leg 4, and absence of the proximal lateral seta on the third exopodal segment, or dorsal seta of the presumptive fourth segment, of swimming legs $1-4$.

The proximal segment of the antennule of the adult female and male of $A$. lilljeborgi bears two setae. The proximal segment of the antennule of the adult female of $D$. nigripes and $S$. gibberum bears only one seta. One of two setae present on the proximal segment of $D$. nigripes early in


Fig. 18. Asterocheres lilljeborgi Boeck, 1859, CI: A, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome, ventral; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, mandible; F, maxillule; G, maxilla; H, maxilliped; J, swimming leg 1; I, swimming leg 2. Scale line 1 is 0.1 mm for A ; line 2 is 0.1 mm for B ; line 3 is 0.1 mm for C ; line 4 is 0.1 mm for $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}$.

Table 10.-Spines and setae on swimming legs 12 of Asterocheres lilljeborgi CI.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st | Endopod <br> 2nd; 3rd; 1st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leg 1 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | $* ; * ;$ IV, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1,2,4$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-0$ | $1-0$ | $*_{;}$; ; III, I, 3 | $* ; * ; 1,2,3$ |

development fails to form during the molt to copepodid IV; in contrast the proximal segment of $S$. gibberum bears only one seta throughout the copepodid phase of development.

The ninth articulating segment of the adult female of $D$. nigripes bears only one seta as does the sixth articulating segment of the adult female of $S$. gibberum; these segments are not homologous. The ninth articulating segment of the antennule of the adult female of $A$. lilljeborgi is considered a complex of three segments with six setae, two from each segment. The third articulating segment of the antennule of the adult female of $D$. nigripes is considered a complex of two segments with four setae, two from each segment. The eighth articulating segment of $D$. nigripes is considered a complex of three segments also with six setae, and which corresponds to the complex of A. lilljeborgi. The antennule of the adult female of $S$. gibberum also has two complexes, a proximal complex of three segments of six setae and a distal complex of four segments with eight setae. These two complexes correspond to the two of $D$. nigripes but with the following articulating segment of $D$. nigripes fused to the corresponding complex of $S$. gibberum.

The antennule of $A$. lilljeborgi appears to have the fewest derived states: only one segmental complex composed of three proximal segments. The antennule of D. nigripes has the following derived states: one seta on the proximal segment; a proximal segmental complex of two segments; and a distal complex of three segments. The antennule of $S$. gibberum has the following derived states: one seta on the proximal segment; a proximal complex of three seg-
ments; and a distal complex of four segments.
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